



Patent  
Attorney's Docket No. 1031287-000024

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of

Martin D. Ericsson et al.

Application No.: 10/811,814

Filed: March 30, 2004

For: ON-DEMAND POWER-OPENING  
DOOR CAPABLE OF BEING  
MANUALLY OPENED

) **MAIL STOP / AFTER FINAL**

) Group Art Unit: 3634

) Examiner: GREGORY J STRIMBU

) Confirmation No.: 2852

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Advisory Action dated June 28, 2007, reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested for the following reason.

Claim 11 recites a feature not present in either of the prior art items combined to reject claim 11. That is, claim 11 recites in the final paragraph thereof that the linkage is returnable from the second position (passage-opening position) to the first position (passage-closing position) independently of the door. That feature is not present in either the admitted prior art or Speer.

That is, in the admitted prior art, the door-opening linkage and the door are interconnected, so independent movement is not possible.

In Speer, the linkage, i.e., the door-opening linkage constituted by the motor 32, cannot be moved (retracted) to the first position (passage-closing position of Fig. 2) independently of the door, because the linkage 32 relies upon a force of the door closer 36 in order to be retracted. Note that Speer's motor 32 is a single-acting motor (column 2, line 40), so it can only push the door open to the Fig. 4 position. In order

OK to  
enter  
7/30/07  
GSS